





IBM Software Group Enterprise Networking and Transformation Solutions	IBM,
Background information	
> Several existing z/OS IP workload balancing solutions already exist	
External load balancing solutions with little or no Sysplex awareness	
Sysplex-aware external load balancing solutions	
-Such as Network Dispatcher	
Sysplex-internal load balancing solutions	
-Such as Sysplex Distributor	
-Such as DNS/WLM	
≻ So why add another?	
/ No one solution is best for everyone - advantages and disadvantages	
Things to consider	
-Administration and configuration	
-Support for TCP and UDP applications?	
-Extra network flows?	
-Client/server affinities supported?	
–Network Address Translation required?	
-Use z/OS Network QoS policy?	
-Use WLM (WorkLoad Manager) recommendations?	
-Availability of load balancing when Load Balancing component is unavailable	
-Caching Convex backth information quailable?	
-eic.	
	© 2005 IBM Corporation









IBM Software Group Enterprise Networking and Transformation Solutions	IBM.
Load balancer registrations through SASP	
> The load balancer may register two types of groups for which it wants weights:	
A system group	
 -Represented by a list of IP addresses only. -IP addresses are matched to TCP/IP stacks in the Sysplex. -WLM weights for the LPARs are retrieved. -CS weight indicates if IP address is active in the Sysplex or not 0 means quiesced *100 means not quiesced -LBA displays will show a protocol value of zero for system group registrations. 	
An application group	
 Represented by a list of IP addresses, protocols (TCP or UDP), and ports. Server address spaces are matched to registrations. WLM weights for the LPARs are retrieved. CS weights are calculated factoring in how well the server instances are performing. LBA displays will show protocol as TCP or UDP with the registered port numbers 	
 When an external load balancer connects to a global workload manager, it instructs the manage wants weights presented: The load balancer will poll every so often to obtain the current weights The load balancer requests the advisor to push weights down at certain intervals or when the weight —This is how a Cisco CSM external load balancer behaves 	r how it s change
	© 2005 IBM Corporation

IBM Software Group Enterprise Networking and Transformation Solutions	IBM.
SASP update frequency	
SASP supports both a "push" and a "pull" model for updating the load balancer with recommendations	h workload
Support of either by the load balancer is implementation dependent	
/ Load balancer tells GWM which model it wants to use	
 "Pull" model -GWM "suggests" a polling interval to the load balancer *z/OS Load Balancing Advisor uses the configurable update_interval value for this purpose -Load balancer has the option to ignore this value -Load balancer requests updates each polling interval 	
 "Push" model -GWM sends updated information to the load balancer on an interval basis •z/OS Load Balancing Advisor uses the configurable update_interval value for this purpose -GWM may send data more frequently than the interval period 	
Joad balancer determines whether it wants information about all members it registered or only information about its registered members	y changed
	© 2005 IBM Corporation

IBM Software Group Enterprise Networking and Transformation Solutions	IBM.
SASP update frequency (continued)	
➤Tradeoffs of higher vs. lower update frequency	
 / With more frequent updates Benefits Workload distribution approaches real-time, reducing latency between when changes appear application and when the load balancer is notified of them	ar on the target
≻Push vs. Pull	
/ May be restricted to one or the other by the LB implementation	
Pull model may delay when status change information about an application reaches the LB	
	© 2005 IBM Corporation





IBM Sof	tware Group Enterpri	ise Networking ar	nd Transformation Solutions	IN.
Tra	demarks, C	Copyrigh	ts and Disclair	ners
The following terms are trademating the Millegol (Millegol) eclosylowiness calledower and the Millegol (Millegol) eclosylowiness calledower and the Millegol (Millegol) (Millego	ks or registered trademarks of Internatis CICS Cloudscape DB2 DB2 Universal Database is are trademarks of Sun Microsystems, r, and the Windows loog are registered MX, Pentium and ProShare are tradem fr De Open Group in the United States. <i>T</i> is a trademarks of Sun Microsystems, is canaser may be trademarks or service or accuracy as of the date of initial public is canaser may be trademarks or service or accuracy as of the date of initial public accuracy and the areagen are of the outproducts programs or services ava or imply that only that program produce without warranty of any kind. THE INI D. IME EXPERSIZY DISCLAMSA all have on responsibility to update this of Limited Warranty. International Prog etc., compatibility or any other claims or contained herein is not intended to, and ag, to: ments and projections using standard He roducts and the results they may have a subachine Coroporation 2005. Sub Machine Coroporation 2005.	nal Business Machines Corpor INS Informix Series Loto The Informix Series Loto and Corporation of Microsoft Corporation of the American States of the Corporation of the and other counters is which and other countries in which the product(s) and/or program(s) and/or program(s) and/o	ation in the United States, other countries, or both: MQSeries OS/390 OS/400 Series countries, or both. Oration in the United States, other countries, or both United States, other countries, or both United States, other countries, or both described herein at any time without notice. Any es only. References in this document to IBM produ IBM operates or does business. Any reference to an y equivalent program, that does not infringe IBM's THIS DOCUMENT IS DISTRIBUTED "AS IS" W CHANTABILITY. INTRISS FOR A PARTICUL e warranded, if at all, according to the terms and con mader which they are provided. Information concern IBM has not tested those products in connection w M makes no representations or warranties, express ense under any IBM patents or copyrights. Inquirie environment. All customer examples described are to reperformance that any user will experience will figuration, and the workload processed. Therefore, e.	<text><text><text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text>