64-bit Support for IBM MQ Queues Communications Subcommittee Jamie Farmer

2024 TPF Users Group Conference May 05-08, New Orleans, LA





Agenda

- Introduction
- Using 64-bit IBM MQ Memory
- Persistent Messages for IBM MQ Queues
 - Checkpointing IBM MQ Queues
 - Sweeping IBM MQ Queues
- 64-bit IBM MQ Diagnostics
- Summary
- What's Next

Introduction



Pain Points

- Today, messages on memory queues are stored in system work blocks (SWBs), a critical system resource that resides below the 2 GB bar
 - With limited 31-bit memory, more frequent I/O operations might result as the IBM MQ sweeper needs to free up SWB memory
 - Expanding the number of SWBs might not be possible or might mean sacrificing other system resources
 - Limits growth of applications and IBM MQ

Introducing 64-bit for IBM MQ Queues

• 64-bit IBM MQ queues will store their messages in a **new memory type dedicated to 64-bit IBM MQ** that resides above the 2 GB bar

• Greatly increases the scalability of IBM MQ by taking full advantage of the memory above the 2G bar

• Improved performance and resiliency of IBM MQ

Using 64-bit IBM MQ Memory



64-Bit IBM MQ Memory

- A new CTKA variable created to define the amount of 64-bit IBM MQ memory that you want z/TPF to allocate
 - Maximum value up to 9 TB over 4000 times that of 31-bit IBM MQ!
 - 64-bit IBM MQ memory will be allocated during an IPL

• Not possible for other activities on the system to deprive 64-bit queues of memory and vice versa

• Storage is dispensed as 4 KB 64-bit IBM MQ memory entries referred to as MQM entries

Create or Migrate to a 64-Bit Queue

- Define a new queue
 - ZMQSC DEFINE QL-'<Queue name>' 64BIT-YES
- Migrate an existing 31-bit queue
 - ZMQSC ALTER QL-'<Queue name>' 64BIT-YES

- Seamless migration to (and if necessary, fallback from) 64-bit without application changes
 - z/TPF IBM MQ applications do not have knowledge of how messages are being stored.

64-Bit IBM MQ Memory vs 31-Bit IBM MQ Memory (SWBs)



Reduced processing for larger messages

z/TPF | 2024 TPF Users Group | Month 05-08, New Orleans, LA | ©2024 IBM Corporation

Performance of MQGET and MQPUT for 64-bit MQ





For persistent messages seeing 16% - 33% reduction in CPU cost per MQPUT/MQGET

64-Bit Queue Considerations

- The primary use case for 64-bit queues is for high volume, FIFO queues.
 - For example, high volumes of data from z/TPF to remote queues like business events.

MQGETs must be sequential (FIFO)

- Browsing is currently not supported
- Searching by message ID is not supported
- Searching by correlation ID is not supported

A 31-bit queue that uses any of the previous actions cannot be migrated to a 64-bit queue.

Identifying Candidate Queues for Migration to 64-Bit

APAR PJ46881 (Nov 2022) adds a new display option to help identify queues that use functionality restricted on 64-bit

C = Search by correlation ID M = Search by message ID B = Browsing

User: ZMQSC DISPLAY QL-* GETDIAG

System: CSMP0097I 14.39.34 CPU-B SS-BSS SSU-HPN IS-01 MOSC0278I 14.39.34 LOCAL QUEUE MOGET DIAGNOSTICS DISPLAY

Queue Name	SEARC BROWS	H/ E	XMITQ GET	LAST PROG
CalculatorQueue	NO		 N/A	
CalculatorSyncReplyQueue	NO		N/A	
AsyncCalculatorQueue	NO		N/A	
MY.MEMQ.1	NO		N/A	
MY.MEMQ.2	YES (CMB)	N/A	ABCD
MY.XMITQ.1	NO		NO	

END OF DISPLAY

Benefits of using 64-Bit IBM MQ Queues

31-Bit Queues

64-Bit Queues

- Message storage below the 2 GB memory bar
- Messages are written to 1 KB memory blocks (general purpose SWBs)

- Message storage above the 2 GB memory bar
- Messages are written to 4 KB memory blocks (MQM) dedicated to IBM MQ only

Persistent Messages for 64-bit IBM MQ Queues



Persistence Messages for IBM MQ (31-Bit and 64-Bit)

• Recovery Log

- Each MQPUT (along with its message data) and information for each MQGET are written to the recovery log as a high performing way to have every persistent message operation written to disk.
- Checkpoint
 - Required for persistent messages to keep the resident set of IBM MQ messages on queues to disk
 - Written every 5 seconds to avoid recovery log from filling
- Sweeping
 - Persistent and nonpersistent messages written to disk to free resources in low memory situations.

Checkpointing IBM MQ Queues



Checkpoint Summary for 31-Bit Queues

- For checkpoint, a complete copy or full replace of messages on 31-bit queues are written to fixed file records every 5 seconds.
 - This approach works because there is a limited number of messages that can be on 31-bit queues
 - Total number of SWBs defined, less than 2 gigabytes of memory.

• In stalled queue situations, I/O operations are significantly duplicated because the same messages are written to disk every 5 seconds.

• Each checkpoint ECB will do as many as 175 I/O operations in parallel

Checkpoint Summary for 64-Bit Queues

- 64-bit checkpoint incrementally builds on the last checkpoint, only additions and removals to persistent messages need to be recorded
 - Required as queues can have terabytes of messages on them
 - This means a **message will be written to pools one time at most**
 - FARF6 pools are supported and recommended
 - Messages written to long-term pools anchored off a fixed file record (QCCR) assigned to the queue
- Highly performant in a stalled queue case!
- Each checkpoint ECB will do as many as 250 I/O operations in parallel!
- Unlike 31-bit, queue lock is not held during I/O operations, which allows applications to put and get messages while checkpoint is running.

IBM MQ Checkpoint Performance (31-bit vs 64-bit)



Checkpoint Times for Stalled Queue

1000 messages added each invocation of the checkpoint

Recovering Persistent Messages on a 64-Bit Queue

- The recovery log will be used by 64-bit queues similarly to 31-bit queues
- When the system restarts, the recovery log is merged with the checkpoint to make sure no persistent messages are lost during an IPL.
 - For 64-bit, entire queue does not need to be brought into memory



Benefits of using 64-Bit IBM MQ Queues

31-Bit Queues

64-Bit Queues

- Message storage below the 2 GB memory bar
- Messages are written to 1 KB memory blocks (general purpose SWBs)
- Application ECBs cannot MQGET or MQPUT messages while checkpoint is running
- Full replace checkpoint

- Message storage above the 2 GB memory bar
- Messages are written to 4 KB memory blocks (MQM) dedicated to IBM MQ only
- Application ECBs can MQGET and MQPUT messages while checkpoint is running
- Incremental checkpoint
 - Less I/O in critical situations
 - Faster checkpoint completion with increased I/O parallelization

Sweeping IBM MQ Queues



Goal of the IBM MQ Sweeper

- The IBM MQ sweeper is intended to free memory used by IBM MQ when the system is running low on that type of memory.
 - For 31-bit IBM MQ, the goal is to free SWBs from 31-bit queues by writing messages to disk.
 - For 64-bit IBM MQ, the goal is to free 64-bit IBM MQ memory (MQM) from 64-bit queues by writing messages to disk.
 - Only nonpersistent messages need to be written to disk for 64-bit IBM MQ because persistent messages were already written to disk by checkpointing!

31-Bit Sweeper Architecture

- When running low on SWBs, a **single** ECB is created to sweep messages for all queues.
 - Queues with the most messages are not always swept first

- Swept messages from queues are written to z/TPF collection support (z/TPFCS).
 - Even though those message might have already been written to the 31-bit checkpoint.

31-Bit Unsweep Architecture

- 31-bit unsweep processing is reactive
 - Unsweep processing to move messages back into memory does not occur until an application issues MQGET and the next message on queue is not in memory.
 - Unsweep processing and the I/O associated from z/TPF collection support (z/TPFCS) reads are done in the application ECB
 - Application ECBs must wait until the unsweep completes before satisfying the MQGET
 - TPFCS BLOBs of messages are read in

• Because the swept messages are detached from the checkpoint, persistent messages that are being unswept must be written to the recovery log (again!).

64-Bit Sweeper Architecture

- The 64-bit sweeper will create multiple ECBs to sweep the queues (as well as unsweeping of queues).
 - Number of ECBs limited to control IOB usage.
- The 64-bit sweeper targets the queues with the highest surplus of messages.
 - Doesn't necessarily mean queues with the most messages
 - Q1 : 10,000 msgs with a GET rate of 1000 msgs/sec

- 10 seconds worth of messages

- Q2 : 1,000 msgs with a GET rate of 50 msgs/sec

- 20 seconds worth of messages

• If targeting 10 seconds worth of messages in memory, then Q2 has more messages to sweep.

64-Bit Sweeper Architecture (Cont.)

- The 64-bit sweeper shares the same persistent mechanism as the 64bit checkpoint
 - For persistent messages, the sweeping is simply pulling from memory
 - I/O is not required to sweep persistent messages!
 - For non-persistent messages, the sweeping is filing the messages
 - Entire queue does not need to be rebuilt in memory after an IPL
 - Can be done because checkpoint copy is also the swept copy of persistent messages

64-Bit Unsweep Architecture

- Unsweep processing for messages is integrated into the sweeper processing.
- System is analyzed to determine which queues can be swept vs unswept
 - Proactive unsweep: invoked as part of the sweeper to bring in messages before they are needed by the application
 - Multiple ECBs can be dedicated to unsweep
 - Reactive unsweep: invoked by application if proactive cannot keep up with application demand.
 - Should only be needed for poorly configured 64-bit IBM MQ memory

IBM MQ Unsweep Performance (31-Bit vs 64-Bit)

- 325,000 messages of 7 KB in size were added to both a 31-bit and 64-bit queue.
 - Approximately 300,000 of those messages were swept out to disk.
- 8 application ECBs each in a loop issuing an MQGET followed by a sleep of 4000 mics to simulate application logic processing that message.
- If the time to do an MQGET were 0, then each ECB could process 250 messages per second (2000 msgs / second total by all 8 ECBs) and the queue could be drained in 163 seconds.

IBM MQ Unsweep Performance (31-Bit vs 64-Bit)

- With 31-bit MQ, there were delays during many MQGET APIs reading messages from disk back into memory, so each MQGET took, on average, 394 microseconds to process
- With 64-bit MQ, proactive unsweeping the next message was always in memory when MQGET is issued so each MQGET took, on average, 6 microseconds to process

Time to Dequeue Swept Messages 325,000 Msgs – 7 KB Msg Size



Remote Recovery Performance (31-Bit vs 64-Bit)

- 325,000 messages of 7 KB in size were added to both a 31-bit and 64-bit queue.
 - Approximately 300,000 of those messages were swept out to disk.
- 8 application ECBs each in a loop issuing an MQGET followed by a sleep of 4000 mics to simulate application logic processing that message. If time to do an MQGET was 0, messages would be removed from the queue at a rate of 2000/sec.
- Additional 8 application ECBs each in a loop issuing an MQPUT followed by a sleep of 8000
 mics to simulate new work being added to the queue. If time to do an MQPUT was 0,
 messages would be added to the queue at a rate of 1000/sec.
- If the time to do an MQGET / MQPUT were 0, then the queue could be drained in 325 seconds.

Remote Recovery Performance (31-Bit vs 64-Bit)

- Simulating a remote queue manager failure and transmission queue grows on the z/TPF system.
 - Queues grows to 325,000 7 KB messages and 300,000 are swept
 - Once the remote queue manager becomes active, measured the CPU cost per message dequeued and the time to drain the entire queue.



72% Reduction



44% Reduction

Benefits of Using 64-Bit IBM MQ Queues 31-Bit Queues 64

64-Bit Queues

- Message storage below the 2 GB memory bar
- Messages are written to 1 KB memory blocks (general purpose SWBs)
- Application ECBs cannot MQGET or MQPUT messages while checkpoint is running
- Full replace checkpoint

Sweeper writes messages to z/TPFCS (separate from checkpoint)

- Message storage above the 2 GB memory bar
- Messages are written to 4 KB memory blocks (MQM) dedicated to IBM MQ only
- Applications ECBs can MQGET and MQPUT while checkpoint is running
- Incremental checkpoint
 - Less I/O in critical situations
 - Faster checkpoint completion with increased I/O parallelization
- Sweeper leverages checkpoint copy of queues
 - No duplicated I/O
 - More efficient and resilient sweep / unsweep processing
 - No I/O required for sweep of persistent 33 messages

Sweeper Settings for 64-bit MQ

- New parameters have been created in keypoint A (CTKA) to control the rate of sweeping.
 - **MQMSWPL**: Percentage of in use 64-bit IBM MQ memory that must be in use before the sweeper will begin to sweep 64-bit queues.
 - Default value of 80%
 - **MQMSWPT**: Target percentage of in use 64-bit IBM MQ memory the sweeper will attempt to reach.
 - Default value of 60%

64-bit IBM MQ Diagnostics



New Diagnostic Fields in Local Queue Display

MQSC0282I 21.51.24 LOCAL QUEUE DISPLAY:

Queue Name

- Q64_TST

Descr

QManagerName

. . .

- 64BIT QUEUE FOR TESTING ← New Description Parameter

- TPFQM

 64BIT
 - YES
 → YES when 64BIT set to YES

 MQM
 - YES
 → Set to YES once the queue has transitioned to using 64-bit MOM memory

END OF DISPLAY+

New 64-Bit Statistics on Local Queue Stats Display

MQSC0285I 21.56.14 LOCAL QUEUE STATISTICS DISPLAY: rcvry_driver_64bit_1 Current Depth - 10 Persistent Msgs - 45266580 (4041 megabytes)

Num of SWBs in use - NONE Num of MQMs in use - 10 Num of pools in use - 441 Aborted Sweep Count - 0 Checkpoint High - 0.065 seconds Checkpoint Last - 0.063 seconds Chkpt in Progress - NO Time Last 64Bit Swp - 0 Time Last 64Bit Proactive UnSwp - 0 Time Last 64Bit Reactive UnSwp - 0

. . .

Queue Manager Display

MQSC0283I 15.56.30 QUEUE MANAGER DISPLAY	
QMNAME - TPFQM	
DESCR - TPF System Queue Manager	New description parameter for queue manager
DEADQ - DEAD.LETTER.QUEUE	
DLQ64 - DEAD.LETTER.QUEUE.64	New 64-bit dead letter queue
	64-Bit IBM
Total MQM - 125437 In Use MQM -	- 1032 MQ Memory
HW In Use Count MQM – 2023 HW In Use T	ime MQM - 2024-02-15 17:05:01 Statistics
MQMSWPL(%) - 80 MQMSWPT (%) - 6	60 ← 64-bit Sweeper Parameters





64-bit Support for IBM Queues

- Delivered in December 2023 PJ46819
- Apply the following additional APARs:
 - **PJ47238** (Mar 2024): Build failure due to incorrect migration considerations.
 - **PJ47256** (Mar 2024): Possible OPR-4 system error during restart

What's Next?



Disclaimer

Any reference to future plans are for planning purposes only. IBM reserves the right to change those plans at its discretion. Any reliance on such a disclosure is solely at your own risk. IBM makes no commitment to provide additional information in the future.



What's Next??

Effort is underway for follow-on 64-bit IBM MQ work

- Support for messages greater than 4 MB in size (up to 100 MB)
- Limited browse capabilities to browse messages in memory (that have not been swept)

Be a sponsor user

Sponsor users assist in design and implementation, and your feedback drives our development cycle.

Target personas

- Application Developers
- System Administrators
- Enterprise Architects

Interested? Contact

Jamie Farmer - <u>jvfarmer@us.ibm.com</u> Cameron Doggett – <u>camd.99@us.ibm.com</u> John Muller - <u>jfmuller@us.ibm.com</u>



Thank you

© Copyright IBM Corporation 2024. All rights reserved. The information contained in these materials is provided for informational purposes only, and is provided AS IS without warranty of any kind, express or implied. Any statement of direction represents IBM's current intent, is subject to change or withdrawal, and represent only goals and objectives. IBM, the IBM logo, and ibm.com are trademarks of IBM Corp., registered in many jurisdictions worldwide. Other product and service names might be trademarks of IBM or other companies. A current list of IBM trademarks is available at <u>Copyright and trademark information</u>.

				/
			_	
			-	
		_	-	
_	-			