



| z/TPF V1.1

TPF Users Group - Spring 2009

z/TPF Migration Experiences

Name: Kevin Jones
Venue: Main Tent

AIM Enterprise Platform Software
IBM z/Transaction Processing Facility Enterprise Edition 1.1.0

Any reference to future plans are for planning purposes only. IBM reserves the right to change those plans at its discretion. Any reliance on such a disclosure is solely at your own risk. IBM makes no commitment to provide additional information in the future.

© 2009 IBM Corporation

Agenda

- **Purpose and Background**
- **Lessons Learned**
 - System Generation and Build
 - Application Programs
 - Local Modifications
 - Application and System Testing

Agenda

- Tooling
- System Settings and Tuning
- Source Code Manager (SCM)
- Education
- Project Planning
- **Key Messages and Conclusion**
- **Question and Answer**

Purpose and Background

- **The purpose of this presentation is to share IBM's experiences with migrating TPF 4.1 systems to z/TPF**
- **IBM has been involved with many completed and in-progress migrations**
 - Gives us a great deal of practical experience to help better support all of our customers

Purpose and Background

- **IBM has also conducted the complete migration of a production TPF 4.1 system to z/TPF:**
 - Planning
 - Establishing the development environment
 - Updating applications and local modifications
 - Application and system testing
 - Education
 - Planning and executing the cutover
 - fallback scenarios also, which were not needed

Purpose and Background

- **We had a unique opportunity to “live” a migration from it’s earliest planning stages through cutover**
 - 35 changes were made to our various products as a result of this experience
- **The “lessons learned” presented here are drawn from these experiences**
- **This presentation is intended to supplement other TPFUG “migration experience” presentations from our customers**

Lessons Learned – System Generation and Build

- **The “z/TPF Migration Guide” is a key document and should be followed closely, especially in terms of updating you SIP stage 1 deck**
 - See also the Fall 2008 TPF Users Group presentation entitled “z/TPF Systems Generation”
- **It is not necessary to build the GCC compiler**
 - binaries provided by Red Hat work correctly

Lessons Learned – System Generation and Build

- **Defining a precise, well-documented “promotion” process for integrating new and changed code is critical**
- **Ensure that proper networking access is available, including firewall authorizations, between your various systems:**
 - workstations, z/VM, z/OS, Linux, SCM, z/TPF
 - In-house networking changes may be needed!

Lessons Learned – System Generation and Build

- **Removal of versions from object code file names can be effectively replaced using:**
 - A source code manager such as Clearcase
 - Object code comments specified in the maketpf “config” file (variable TPFOBJPP_COMMENT)
 - Can be displayed online using ZDMAP, and offline using the “tpfzdmapp” utility
 - Details can be found in the Fall 2007 presentation “Code Flow and Versioning”

Lessons Learned – System Generation and Build

- **Keeping the HFS structure simple is important**
 - A small modification to the z/TPF directory structure had several unforeseen impacts
- **Having Group IDs (GIDs) and Users IDs (UIDs) match between Linux and z/OS will simplify your environment**
- **Building application or system code while running as “root” under Linux or z/OS Unix System Services (USS) is not advised**

Lessons Learned – System Generation and Build

- **The “loadtpf” command on Linux is extraordinarily useful**
 - Provides the ability to create OLDR or TLDR output to a file
 - The file can then be FTP'd to z/TPF and loaded
 - Eliminates the need to use physical media such as tapes, although the ability to write to tapes is available from Linux
 - Greatly reduces the need to run loaders from z/OS (although ALDR must still be run there)

Lessons Learned – System Generation and Build

- **The date for freezing the z/TPF product base should be driven by need, not PUT deliveries**
 - It is not necessary to freeze on a PUT boundary, especially given their yearly delivery
 - All z/TPF and z/TPFDF APARs are assigned a unique sequence number upon closure, allowing maintenance to be applied to any given point
 - The following site contains useful APAR data:
 - <http://ibm.com/tpf/maint/maintztpf.html>
 - IBM chose to freeze maintenance at the beginning of application and system testing, when PUT 5 was not yet closed
 - Effectively created a PUT level for the customer

Lessons Learned – Application Programs

- **Assembler programs are generally easy to convert to z/TPF using the TPF Toolkit**
 - 600 programs scanned and updated in two days (1.6 minutes per program)
 - Updated programs assembled immediately to detect new warnings from stricter assembler
 - No applications were written in C/C++
- **The TPF Toolkit single-source scans should be used even if a single application program base will not be maintained**
 - In all cases, a process is required to ensure that non-compliant code is not introduced after the initial scans

Lessons Learned – Application Programs

- **24-bit applications are problematic**
 - Problems are not easy to detect
 - Even 31-bit applications can have 24-bit errors
 - In TPF 4.1, core blocks and primary globals were mapped under the 16MB line. In z/TPF, they are only mapped under 2GB.
 - Best approach is to start converting 24-bit applications early, and possibly make the same changes on TPF 4.1 to get additional testing

Lessons Learned – Application Programs

- Once a 24-bit defect is found and corrected, search all of your applications for similar programming (register or field usage)
 - This will likely yield additional changes
- Also examine displays generated from your application programs for 24-bit fields (six-digit core addresses) which need to be expanded
 - Scanning for “TR” instructions with a length of 6 bytes, and “UNPK” instructions with a length of 7 bytes may be helpful

Lessons Learned – Local Modifications

- **Every local modification to the TPF product must be examined**
 - First, determine if the mod is necessary in z/TPF, given the new functionality available
 - If the modification is needed, determine if it can be moved to a user exit
 - z/TPF has nearly 300 user exits!
 - CP modifications require special attention since it now runs in 64-bit mode
 - Pay particular attention to addresses which may be shared between base product code and the local modification

Lessons Learned – Application and System Testing

- **The importance of testing during a z/TPF migration cannot be over-emphasized**
 - It is not unusual for testing to consume 50% or more of all hours spent

Lessons Learned – Application and System Testing

- **Significant testing is required even if minimal changes are made to applications and local modifications**
 - The underlying operating system is completely revamped
 - Application behavior and timing may be affected
 - Expect to find base application errors because of the new environment and such extensive testing
 - Latent defects were found in 2.3% of all “working” applications

Lessons Learned – Application and System Testing

- **Types of testing to consider:**
 - Unit test of all local modifications
 - Repetitive regression tests of all applications
 - Regression test of z/TPF functionality to be used
 - Overall system testing (concurrent workloads)
 - Long-term stability tests
 - Stress tests to measure performance and tune
 - Disaster recovery sites
 - Hardware failure scenarios
 - Backup hardware (processors, tape drives, etc)
 - End user testing

Lessons Learned – Tooling

- **Use of tooling during a z/TPF migration is critical:**
 - TPF Toolkit
 - Single source scans, including the ability for customers to define their own rules
 - New dump viewer allows interactive debugging
 - Integrated TPF Debugger
 - Continuous Data Collection
 - realtime system status and resource usage

Lessons Learned – Tooling

- **Software Profiler**
 - used to understand how applications and the system are affecting overall performance
 - detect bottlenecks and fine tune performance
- **Automation Platform such as TPF Operations Server (TOS)**
 - provides the ability to automate repetitive tasks and test variations

Lessons Learned – System Settings and Tuning

- **Core allocations (SIP stage 1 macro CORREQ, and command ZCTKA)**
 - Number of IOBs, SWBs, common blocks, 4K frames and ECBs should be set initially to their 4.1 values
 - Preallocated ECB heap should be initially set based on the TPF 4.1 Data Reduction report “ECB Heap Area Usage Summary”
 - set “PEH” so that 90% of ECBs will have heap requests satisfied from the preallocated area

Lessons Learned – System Settings and Tuning

- Set initial 1MB frame allocation to:
 - $((10\% \text{ number of ECBs}) + (\text{number of 1MB frames needed for core resident programs})) * 2$
 - This is likely an over-allocation that can be corrected as testing proceeds
- Minimum VFA and 31-bit system heap (SHP) sizes should be initially set based on 4.1 usage
- The default value for the dump buffer area (DBA) is an appropriate initial setting
- As testing proceeds, storage allocation values should be adjusted based on actual usage

Lessons Learned – System Settings and Tuning

- **System Trace Options (ZSTRC)**

- Options that have been carried over from TPF 4.1 can retain their settings
- New options for z/TPF need to be carefully examined
- Note that several ZSTRC options are excellent debugging tools and should be enabled for portions of application and system testing
 - Block check, heap check and branch relative target check

Lessons Learned – System Settings and Tuning

- **Dump Options (ZASER)**
 - Options that have been carried over from TPF 4.1 can retain their settings
 - New options for z/TPF need to be carefully examined, although the default values are acceptable as initial settings
 - Particularly true for new parameters which help control the size of dumps
 - Depending on your memory size and dump frequency, you may or may not need to limit dump sizes

Lessons Learned – Source Code Manager (SCM)

- **Rational Clearcase is being used as an SCM for z/TPF systems, among others**
 - All features of Clearcase can be exploited, particularly from the command line
 - Use of the remote client does require special considerations
 - Clearcase can also be used in simpler forms tailored to need
 - The TPF Toolkit can be customized to interact with Clearcase

Lessons Learned – Education

- **DO NOT diminish the importance of education in your project planning!**
 - z/TPF functionality
 - Operational changes
 - New and changed APIs
 - New and changed utilities
 - Debugging, including new traces and dump formatting
 - 64-bit programming

Lessons Learned – Education

- Tooling options
- Linux skills
- z/OS Unix System Services (USS)
- SCM skills
- Maketpf, bldtpf and loadtpf
 - Config, control and make files
- **Not every person needs every skill, but proper up-front education should pay for itself**

Lessons Learned – Education

- **There are many sources of information:**
 - User Group presentations back through 2005
 - IBM and non-IBM
 - z/TPF Migration Guide
 - z/TPF Information Center
 - Internet search engines
 - Formal education offerings
 - TPFUG Migration Task Force conference calls
- **Education also needs to be on-going, as new function is being added regularly to z/TPF**

Lessons Learned – Project Planning

- **12 to 24 month migration plans are typical**
- **IBM spent 7.5 person-years to migrate a production TPF 4.1 system to z/TPF**
 - However, many factors affect the amount of resource needed, both negatively and positively
 - Further, some costs are fixed regardless of system size, such as installing Linux

Lessons Learned – Project Planning

- **The following is how the resources were used, which may or may not apply to other migrations:**
 - Application and system testing – 50%
 - Forward-fit and test of local modifications – 15%
 - Project management – 10%
 - Establishing a development environment – 10%
 - Development of test tools and automation – 5%
 - System generation and maintenance – 5%
 - Host systems (Linux, VM, z/OS) – 3%
 - Application migration and support – 2%
 - Atypically low given the lack of C/C++ applications

Lessons Learned – Project Planning

- **Some additional points to consider:**
 - Remember that test applications and automation scripts need to be updated also
 - Include time to update in-house procedures, processes and user guides
 - Ensure your new development environment is replicated as needed at your disaster recovery sites
 - Spend time to ensure your test plans are complete, and cover all of your applications
 - Watch for undocumented features
 - Underscores the need for end-user testing

Key Messages and Conclusion

- **z/TPF migrations are no longer a theoretical exercise – they are being completed successfully!**
- **Simplicity in your development environment is important**
 - Weigh carefully the cost versus benefit of adding complexity
- **The z/TPF Migration Guide and other information sources are vital, and represent proven migration approaches**

Key Messages and Conclusion

- **Tooling is critical to success**
 - automation, debugging, system and application analysis, etc.
- **Work with IBM on any requirements you may have against our base products**
- **Education is vital and should be included in migration project plans**
- **IBM is ready and able to work with our customers to ensure continued success!**

Question and Answer