




INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY: a pathfinder to better performance



*The Performance Manager
Series*



INTRODUCTION

The new business book, *The Performance Manager*, can help you turn the growing information-intensity of your job from a challenge to a competitive advantage. Its thesis is simple—rather than sifting through all the data your organization may produce, if you pay attention to certain sweet spots, you will make better decisions, create better goals, and set better plans about issues that truly drive your company. We're pleased to offer you this chapter for **Information Technology** departments drawn from the book.

The Performance Manager, Proven Strategies for Turning Information into Higher Business Performance looks at the partnership between decision-makers and the people who provide the information that drives better decisions. It offers suggestions for 42 decision areas, or information sweet spots, taking into account your need to not only understand data, but also plan and monitor your performance. These decision areas are organized by the eight major functions of a company: Information Technology, Human Resources, Operations, Product Development, Sales, Marketing, Finance, Customer Service, plus an over-arching section for Executive Management. You will find five of these decision areas in this paper.

Each chapter introduces key challenges and opportunities companies face in the specific function. *The Performance Manager* then dives into each decision area, illustrating the core content of the corresponding information sweet spot. These are organized into two types of measures: goals and metrics, and a hierarchical set of dimensions that allow you to look at the information from a variety of vantage points.

Each decision area then offers advice on who beyond the specific function would benefit from seeing the information (e.g., Marketing should see Sales pipeline targets) to make better performance a truly cross-organizational exercise. We hope you see the value in this white paper and investigate other functional areas and their decision areas, or [take our offer for the whole book, *The Performance Manager*.](#)

We acknowledge and thank the great thinkers at Business Intelligence International, PMSI, and the IBM Cognos staff and customers who offered their insights to make this publication possible.



INTRODUCTION


The new business book, *The Performance Manager*, can help you turn the growing information-intensity of your job from a challenge to a competitive advantage. Its thesis is simple—rather than sifting through all the data your organization may produce, if you pay attention to certain sweet spots, you will make better decisions, create better goals, and set better plans about issues that truly drive your company. We're pleased to offer you this chapter for **Information Technology** departments drawn from the book.

The Performance Manager, Proven Strategies for Turning Information into Higher Business Performance looks at the partnership between decision-makers and the people who provide the information that drives better decisions. It offers suggestions for 42 decision areas, or information sweet spots, taking into account your need to not only understand data, but also plan and monitor your performance. These decision areas are organized by the eight major functions of a company: Information Technology, Human Resources, Operations, Product Development, Sales, Marketing, Finance, Customer Service, plus an over-arching section for Executive Management. You will find five of these decision areas in this paper.

Each chapter introduces key challenges and opportunities companies face in the specific function. *The Performance Manager* then dives into each decision area, illustrating the core content of the corresponding information sweet spot. These are organized into two types of measures: goals and metrics, and a hierarchical set of dimensions that allow you to look at the information from a variety of vantage points.

Each decision area then offers advice on who beyond the specific function would benefit from seeing the information (e.g., Marketing should see Sales pipeline targets) to make better performance a truly cross-organizational exercise. We hope you see the value in this white paper and investigate other functional areas and their decision areas, or [take our offer for the whole book, *The Performance Manager*.](#)

We acknowledge and thank the great thinkers at Business Intelligence International, PMSI, and the IBM Cognos staff and customers who offered their insights to make this publication possible.



INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

A Pathfinder to Better Performance

Our Age of Anxiety is, in great part, the result of trying to do today's jobs with yesterday's tools.

Marshall McLuhan

IT can be to the company what high-tech firms have been to the economy—a catalyst for change and an engine driving rapid growth. Of course, the opposite is also true: IT failures can seriously harm the company.

Why? Technology and information have become so important to how companies operate that even small changes can dramatically affect many areas of the business. This reality is reflected in the amount of IT assets accumulated over years due to large IT budgets, often second only to payroll in size. *How many of these assets are still underleveraged, for whatever reason? What impact on results would an across-the-board 10 percent increase in return on IT assets (ROA) have?*

Clearly, the stakes are high. And yet, IT is often seen as a simple support function or an expense ripe for outsourcing. It is rarely seen as an enabler or creative pathfinder for the business.

IT's daily pressures often derive from thankless, sometimes no-win tasks, such as ensuring core service levels of up-time, data quality, security, and compliance. Beyond these basic operations—“keeping the lights on”—IT must also respond to the never-ending and always-changing needs of their business customers. The challenge of managing their expectations is intensified by the pressure to reduce costs, do more with less, and even outsource major capabilities.

Companies often cite poor alignment of IT with other functions as the key challenge. IT, however, can be the pathfinder that helps the company discover a new way to drive value and maximize ROI and ROA. Unfortunately, the opportunity for IT to demonstrate this is often blocked by three common barriers.

Barrier 1: *Effective alignment cannot succeed without a common language and unifying map*


IT must be well aligned with the business. Much has been written about processes for achieving greater alignment in IT decisions. These include:

- Securing senior executive sponsorship
- Implementing gating procedures and ROI justifications for project approvals
- Establishing steering committees and business partnering roles and responsibilities

However, for any of these processes to be successful, IT and the company as a whole need to share a common language and unifying map.

This is really about building a relevant business context for what IT can do. The language and map must reflect a fundamental understanding of what issues matter to the success of the company. Then you can form a credible view on how IT capabilities can help. The map must show how IT capabilities fit among the company's other functions, processes, decisions and, most important, goals. It must show who benefits from these capabilities. And it must be able to communicate the strengths and weaknesses of these IT capabilities across a range of infrastructure, applications, and information, as well as how to manage them. Think of it as a Google™ Earth tool for IT. Zoom in on business objectives and evaluate different technical options based on an understanding of detailed capabilities.

Business Visibility



The common language and unifying map should include the fundamental anchors of metadata (such as customer, product, and location) and standard business rules. Finally, it must also clarify and explain IT terminology. Non-technical audiences should be able to understand the impact of IT in business terms and answer some fundamental questions, including:

- Where are we today, where do we want to be, and how can we get there?
- What business processes and strategic goals are being negatively affected?
- How could IT drive better business performance? Which users stand to benefit?
- How well do multiple, discrete IT assets combine to fulfill complex business performance requirements?
- What information do you need to drive better decision-making capabilities, in terms of content (measures and dimensions), business rules (metadata), and use (functionality)?
- What financial and human resources do you require to fulfill your goals?
- How should costs be aggregated and allocated to reflect actual use?
- What are the cost/benefit trade-offs between alternative technical options?


Barrier 2: *The difficulty of developing more credible, closed-loop measurements of IT's value to the company*

It is standard practice within most IT departments to evaluate the return on investment for projects and initiatives, and measure the cost/benefit of various IT capabilities. The challenge comes in developing a value measurement system that:

- Is credible with Finance and users alike
- Provides insight into cause and effect drivers
- Goes beyond point measurement to reflect the entire company
- Is consistent across projects, departments, and business units
- Provides a closed loop so that results can be compared to the plan and lessons learned

Fundamentally, IT creates value by improving operational efficiency and/or effectiveness, but defining what this actually means isn't straightforward. One approach is to use the simple notion of input/output changes. Greater efficiency means reducing input cost—the effort or time required to achieve a given level of output. Greater effectiveness means achieving better-quality or higher-value output for the same level of input. A further guideline for defining useful metrics is to divide them into three distinct categories:

- **IT efficiency** → Direct total cost of ownership (TCO) savings in use of IT resources
- **Business efficiency** → Productivity savings in terms of business users' time to perform both transaction and decision-making work
- **Business effectiveness** → Improved business performance from faster and more informed decision-making



These three categories include measures ranging from cost savings (efficiency) to value generation (effectiveness), as well as from more to less certainty in the numbers. This is the dilemma and the challenge for IT: the greatest opportunity for ROI and ROA is also the least verifiable, and therefore the least credible.

Hard numbers around IT efficiency, such as cost savings and cost avoidance, are easier to measure and are often the only ones Finance sees as credible. Companies document such costs, or they occur upfront, and therefore involve fewer future projections. Pursuing TCO is a well-established discipline. It captures hidden costs such as implementation, change orders, maintenance, training, and user support. TCO also evaluates common drivers of IT inefficiency such as lack of standardization and consolidation.

Determining the value of business efficiency in user productivity improvements is somewhat harder. However, there are established processes. Historically, IT's primary focus has been on improving efficiency through automation. Cost savings in core transaction processes justified much of the countless dollars spent on technology over the last decade. The heavy investment required to implement enterprise resource planning systems, for example, was usually justified based on the ROI of process improvement that reduced cost per transaction.

However, measuring value merely in terms of IT efficiency from cost savings, or business efficiency from improved transaction productivity, understates the total value. Companies have already achieved most of the major cost savings available from consolidations, platform standardization, and transaction process improvements. While you may still need incremental upgrades and integration initiatives, the bigger opportunity for value is in improving the efficiency and effectiveness of decision-making.

As noted in the introduction, analysis from McKinsey shows that the proportion of more complex decision-based (tacit) work has increased relative to transaction-based work. It now represents more than 50 percent of the workload in many industries.

Unfortunately, decision-based work is much harder to measure, and therefore to determine how to improve. It is information-intensive, interactive, and often iterative. IT must evaluate the value of improving business efficiency and effectiveness around decision-making work. The critical asset—and therefore the element to measure—is information. IT delivers value through quality of information. You measure that quality in terms of relevance, accuracy, timeliness, usability, and consistency. The higher the quality of information, measured across all of these factors, the better the decision-making. This leads to greater user productivity and the ability to drive performance goals.

Some metrics on decision productivity come from monitoring the use of a reporting, scorecard, or overall performance management system. *How many people use it? How often do they use it? When do they use it? How often are reports updated? How many new reports do users create? Who are these power users?* IT can also track user feedback about information quality through self-assessments and qualitative ratings.

Metrics quantifying business effectiveness are in some ways more straightforward, though not necessarily as certain or verifiable. These are based on the performance metrics for the decision area you are improving. As demonstrated throughout this book, decision areas are defined by drivers and outcomes that reflect the cause-and-effect relationships among business issues. This metric hierarchy provides the logic for ROI/ROA calculations and for monitoring success over time.

Barrier 3: *Lack of good decision-making information for managing IT*

IT often lacks its own decision-making information. Beyond the need for metrics noted above, IT needs a context for making a wide range of decisions, as well as for filtering the volume of data it generates. There are two types of IT information sources that are often not fully integrated or harnessed.

The first comes from applications that serve IT processes. Use of information from systems management tools has become quite common, notably to manage security and compliance issues. For example, compliance with Sarbanes-Oxley's Section 404 for General IT and Application Controls involves reviewing access rights, incident logs, change and release management data, and other information generated by IT applications. This information is useful for making decisions beyond compliance.

The second source comes from having more consistent information about the IT management process itself. The Sarbanes-Oxley legislation was a catalyst for well-established best practices in IT becoming more widely adopted. These practices include:

- Frameworks such as Control Objectives for Information and related Technology (COBIT®) from the IT Governance Institute and the Information Technology Infrastructure Library (ITIL) framework
- Methodologies such as the software development life cycle (SDLC)
- Organizations such as the Project Management Institute (PMI).

Greater acceptance and use of these best practices provides more information about IT and the business processes, organizations, and users that IT supports.


The Business of IT

The five decision areas described in this chapter provide IT with insights and facts to help drive overall value for the company.

The sequence of these decision areas provides a logical and iterative flow of analysis and action. The start and end point—IT with a clear view of *where* and *how* it is driving business value—sets the basis for priorities and plans to close gaps. You require a detailed understanding of the effectiveness of IT assets, both individually and combined, to see how to make them more effective. In order to optimize your current assets, or add new ones, you must monitor the projects closely and manage vendors. Finally, you need visibility over the many “moving parts” to ensure you comply with business and regulatory objectives to mitigate risks.

Decision areas on IT:

- **Business value map** → Where and how does IT drive business value?
- **IT portfolio management** → How are IT assets optimized for greatest ROA?
- **Project/SDLC management** → Are projects on time, on budget, on target?
- **IT vendor management** → Are vendor service levels and costs managed optimally?
- **IT compliance management** → Are IT risks and controls managed appropriately?



Business Value Map

The business value map provides a high-level view of IT's effect on the business, both currently and potentially. This information sweet spot combines common language with value measurement in a single unifying map for use throughout the company. Of the five decision areas, this is the most important for driving better alignment between IT and the other functions. It helps define the demand for IT and the ways IT can assist. Companies use the business value map at different levels and stages of IT processes. These include defining IT strategy, setting priorities, approving projects and investments, defining requirements, monitoring user acceptance, and validating success.

The business value map provides a consistent understanding of the business and an overall understanding of IT. One useful source of this information is the consistent view of the business required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley legislation in terms of organizational entities, transaction processes, systems, people, and their overall relationship to financial accounts.

The business value map provides context and measures gaps in current or projected IT capabilities. This helps clarify the *where / who / how / what / when* questions:

- *Where* are better IT capabilities needed in the company in terms of organizational units, functions, and processes?
- *Who* are the users and stakeholders of better IT capabilities?
- *How* will better IT capabilities drive value for the company (and did they last quarter)?
- *What* are the requirements for developing better IT capabilities?
- *When* must better IT capabilities be available?

This decision area lets you compare strengths and weaknesses in IT capabilities across different business units, processes, and functions. Then you can relate any gaps back to the drivers of performance. *Information quality is a leading indicator of business value—is IT delivering the right information at the right time to the right decision-makers to support the business?* You can evaluate gaps in information quality using a number of qualitative factors. These include relevance, accuracy, timeliness, availability, reliability, breadth of functionality, and consistency. These factors can be used to clarify cost/benefit options and let you prioritize potential improvements.

BUSINESS VALUE MAP

GOALS	METRICS	DIMENSIONS	
Business Priority Score	BI Users (#)	Current/Target Scenario	IT Project Type
Business Value (\$)	Business Effectiveness Index	Scenario	IT Project
Information Quality Index	Business Efficiency Index	Decision Processes	Key Business Information
IT Capability Index	Employees (#)	Business Function	Business Subject Area
IT Costs (\$)	Information Accuracy Rating	Decision Area	Metadata Model
	Information Availability Rating	Employee Decision Role	Organization
	Information Consistency Rating	Work Function	Division
	Information Functionality Rating	Decision Role	Department
	Information Relevance Rating	Fiscal Year	Org. Code
	Information Timeliness Rating	Year	Strategy Focus
	IT Project Costs (\$)	Quarter	Strategic Area
	IT Projects (#)	Month	Strategy
		Information Supply Chain	Transaction Processes
		Information Stage	Process
		IT Improvement Priority	Sub-Process
		IT Improvement Priority Rating	Activity
		IT Project Status	
		IT Projects	

FUNCTION	DECISION ROLES	PRIMARY WORK	CONTRIBUTORY	STATUS
IT / Systems	Executives Managers Analysts Professionals	*		
Finance	Executives Managers Analysts Professionals	*		
Customer Service	Executives Analysts		*	*
Distribution	Executives Analysts		*	*
Operations / Production	Executives Analysts		*	*
Purchasing	Executives Analysts		*	*
Product Development	Executives Analysts		*	*
Sales	Executives Analysts		*	*
Marketing	Executives Analysts		*	*
Human Resources	Executives Analysts		*	*

IT Portfolio Management

This is the supply side of the IT value equation, while the business value map decision area is the demand side. Portfolio management offers details of and insights into the company’s IT assets, how well these support the business, and what opportunities exist to improve IT ROA spending by:

- Expanding the portfolio by acquiring new IT assets
- Investing more in existing IT assets to generate greater value from them
- Retiring obsolete or inefficient IT assets
- Implementing controls to mitigate risk related to IT assets

GOALS	METRICS	DIMENSIONS	
IT Capability Index	BI Users (#)	Application Software	IT Efficiency Opportunity
IT Costs (\$)	Employees (#)	Application Type	IT Savings Magnitude
IT Efficiency Index	IT Asset Availability Rating	Software	IT Savings Type
	IT Asset Compatibility Rating	Data Sources	IT Improvement Priority
	IT Asset Flexibility Rating	Data Source Type	IT Improvement Priority Rating
	IT Asset Reliability Rating	Data Source	IT Project Status
	IT Asset Scalability Rating	Decision Processes	IT Projects
	IT Direct Costs (\$)	Business Function	IT Project Type
	IT Indirect Costs (\$)	Decision Area	IT Project
	IT Project Costs (\$)	Discretionary Budget	Key Business Information
	IT Projects (#)	Fiscal Month	Business Subject Area
		Year	Metadata Model
		Quarter	Organization
		Month	Division
		Goals/Metrics Hierarchy	Department
		Goal Type	Org. Code
		Goals	Transaction Processes
		Metrics	Process
		Information Supply Chain	Sub-Process
		Information Stage	Activity
		Infrastructure Environment	
		IT Technical Layer	
		IT Asset Type	
		IT Asset	

While there are many potential categories and attributes of IT assets, the three core ones are infrastructure, applications, and information. Using this decision area, IT can analyze the inventory of physical IT assets (hardware, software, data sources, and applications); their properties (such as vendor and direct cost); and their core capabilities (such as flexibility, scalability, reliability, compatibility, and availability).

FUNCTION	DECISION ROLES	PRIMARY WORK	CONTRIBUTORY	STATUS
IT / Systems	Executives Managers Analysts Professionals	• • • •		
Finance	Executives Managers Analysts Professionals	• • • •		
Audit	Analysts		•	
Customer Service	Analysts		•	
Distribution	Analysts		•	
Finance	Analysts		•	
Human Resources	Analysts		•	
Marketing	Analysts		•	
Operations / Production	Analysts		•	
Product Development	Analysts		•	
Purchasing	Analysts		•	
Sales	Analysts		•	

Improving IT efficiency, however, is not enough. Most companies have tied 70 percent of their IT budget to non-discretionary items. You can't cut these "keeping the lights on" costs easily. You can gain additional and invaluable insight in this decision area by comparing how diverse IT assets work together to support specific areas of the business. Think of these IT assets as belonging to an information supply chain that acquires, manages, and delivers access to information for end users. Thinking in terms of shared and integrated supply chains delivering information and functionality makes it easier to explain how improvements to incomplete, complex, or obsolete IT assets represent greater effectiveness and value to the company.

IT should set standards and document the core business metadata for the company. Consistent metadata and business rules are critical for information to become a trusted sweet spot in decision-making processes.

Project/SDLC Management

This decision area is one of two that make up IT’s operational bread and butter. Value is generated from IT assets by implementing new software and infrastructure or developing new applications. With IT’s discretionary budget for new projects limited to about one-third or less of the total IT budget, resources are scarce and expectations high. This makes good information even more critical.

Most IT departments have hundreds of separate projects that are interrelated, overlapping, or at various stages of completion. This decision area tracks the status of major projects against common project management milestones such as scope, requirements analysis, design specifications, development, testing, implementation, and production. Monitoring on-time, on-budget, on-quality project indicators is critical to managing scope, unplanned changes, and necessary adjustments. This information, which may need to be aggregated from several sources, also improves alignment around project priorities and helps flag duplication in purpose or scope.

GOALS	METRICS	DIMENSIONS	
IT Project Completion (%)	External Resource Days (EFT)	Business Scope	Project Start Date
IT Project Lead Time (#)	Internal Resource Days (EFT)	Fiscal Month	Year
IT Project ROI (%)	Initiatives Rejected (#)	Year	Quarter
	IT Project Cost (\$)	Quarter	Month
	IT Project Value (\$)	Month	Project Start Date
	New Initiatives (#)	Forecast Scenario (Plan/Actual/Forecast)	Project Management
	Proj. Duration (#) – Business Days	Scenario	Project Team
	Proj. Duration (%) – Variance	Investment Range (\$)	Project Sponsor
	Rejection Causes (#)	IT Projects	Project Manager
	Total Resource Days (EFT)	IT Project Type	Project Member
		IT Project	Project Completion Date
		IT Project Status	Year
		IT Project Complexity	Quarter
		IT Project Milestones	Month
		IT Project Risk Level	Project Finish Date
			Related Projects
			Organization
			Division
			Department
			Org. Code

FUNCTION	DECISION ROLES	PRIMARY WORK	CONTRIBUTORY	STATUS
IT / Systems	Executives Managers Analysts Professionals	*		
Finance	Executives Analysts		*	
Audit	Executives Managers Professionals	*		*
Customer Service	Executives			*
Distribution	Executives			*
Operations / Production	Executives			*
Purchasing	Executives			*
Product Development	Executives			*
Sales	Executives			*
Marketing	Executives			*
Human Resources	Executives			*

Contextual dimensions provide greater comparability across different projects. This allows for learning and best-practice sharing between “apples and oranges” by pooling common information about different projects. These dimensions can include:

- Investment amount (< 50K, < 100K, < 500K, > 1M, etc.)
- Complexity (features, information, architecture)
- Dynamic versus static
- Business scope (point solution, departmental, or enterprise)
- Critical skills required
- Risk level (likelihood and impact assessments)

A key benefit of this information is that you gain insights even from failed projects. By seeing what worked and what didn't across many different projects, and by ensuring a full life cycle perspective on development projects, you can avoid future mistakes and resource misallocations.

This information sweet spot helps manage expectations across the team, sponsors, and stakeholders. With it, IT management can avoid project cost overruns, missed deadlines, and subpar quality deliverables. Beyond avoiding the adverse financial implications of failed projects, it also helps IT avoid the potentially serious impact on the company's reputation and credibility.

IT Vendor Management

This decision area represents the other operational information sweet spot for IT. In many companies, IT is second only to Purchasing in terms of dollars spent on external vendors. IT needs a consolidated view of how much it is spending on IT assets and with whom. It's a long list, from PCs and PDAs to routers and telecom services, from software licenses to system integrator services.

Analyzing this information sweet spot helps identify what to consolidate and/or standardize to reduce costs and complexity. It also reveals where you can pool requirements to gain purchasing power or generate higher service levels.

When this information is fragmented across the enterprise, it is difficult to spot duplication of contracts and agreements. Simple comparisons of vendor costs by function and user can help uncover potential excesses. Knowing that other vendors have provided similar products or services also helps IT foster healthy competition and price/quality comparisons.

GOALS	METRICS	DIMENSIONS	
IT Contract Cost (\$)	Credit Rating (#)	Application Software	IT Contract Start Date
IT Project Completion (%)	Employees (#)	Application Type	Year
IT Project Lead Time (#)	IT Asset Availability Rating	Software	Quarter
IT Vendor On-Time (%)	IT Asset Compatibility Rating	Data Sources	Month
SLA Performance (%)	IT Asset Flexibility Rating	Data Source Type	Contract Start Date
	IT Asset Reliability Rating	Data Source	IT Vendor Status
	IT Asset Scalability Rating	Fiscal Month	IT Vendor
	IT Direct Costs (\$)	Year	IT Vendor Type
	IT Indirect Costs (\$)	Quarter	IT Vendor
	IT Project Costs (\$)	Month	Organization
	IT Projects (#)	Infrastructure	Division
	IT Vendor Hourly Rate (\$)	Environment	Department
	Quality Rating (#)	IT Technical Layer	Org. Code
		IT Asset Type	Transaction Processes
		IT Asset	Process
		IT Contract End Date	Sub-Process
		Year	Activity
		Quarter	
		Month	
		Contract End Date	

FUNCTION	DECISION ROLES	PRIMARY WORK	CONTRIBUTORY	STATUS
IT / Systems	Executives	*		
	Managers	*		
	Analysts	*		
	Professionals	*		
Audit	Executives			*
	Managers	*		
	Professionals	*		
Customer Service	Analysts		*	
Distribution	Analysts		*	
Finance	Analysts	*		
Operations / Production	Analysts		*	
	Analysts		*	
Purchasing	Analysts		*	
Product Development	Analysts		*	
Sales	Analysts		*	
Marketing	Analysts		*	
Human Resources	Analysts		*	

This decision area is also important in managing service levels tied to major outsourcing contracts, a fixture for many IT functions. All service level agreements have trade-offs between quality, time, and cost. Measuring quality, especially in the more complex Tier 3 contracts that manage and enhance applications, can be a challenge. For example, where Tier 1 agreements may measure service availability, numbers of incidents, and resolution response times, Tier 3 agreements need to address access to and use of information from applications, and how easy and quick it is to make changes. Even knowing when contracts are up for renewal, as well as when you are triggering penalty or incentive clauses, can lead to cost savings or improved service levels.

IT Compliance Management

IT compliance management is a key focus for U.S. public companies. This decision area consolidates information from different compliance initiatives. As noted in Barrier 3, various frameworks and IT best practices such as COBIT and ITIL require general and application-specific IT controls. This decision area requires three common sources of information.


The first is from compliance program management software, such as that used for Sarbanes-Oxley. Similar to the project/SDLC management decision area, this allows IT to ensure that compliance tasks take place and are meeting program milestones.

The second source of information comes from the controls themselves. Of the 34 IT processes across four domains used in COBIT, a subset is required for Sarbanes-Oxley, notably around security and access controls, change and release management, and incident and problem management. In most cases, these controls involve reviewing large volumes of data and flagging exceptions to established procedures.

GOALS	METRICS	DIMENSIONS	
Compliance Completion (%)	Control Effectiveness Rating	Application Software	In Scope
Compliance Costs (\$)	Controls (#)	Application Type	Infrastructure Environment
Material Weakness (#)	Exceptions (#)	Software	IT Technical Layer
Regulatory Compliance (%)	External Audit Fees (\$)	Assertions	IT Asset Type
Risk Level Index	Internal Audit Costs (\$)	Control Frequency	IT Asset
	Issues (#)	Control Method	IT Control Processes (COBIT)
	Items Overdue (#)	Control Objective	Control Type (App/Gen)
	Outsourced Internal Audit Costs (\$)	Control Objective	IT Domain
	Reg. Audits	Control Owners	IT Process
	Risk Impact Rating	Function	IT Control
	Risk Likelihood Rating	Position	Key Control
	Sample Size (#)	Control Owner	Remediation Status
	Significant Deficiencies (#)	Control Type	Risks
	Tests (#)	Documentation Status	Risk Category
		Entity	Risk Type
		Financial Account	Risk
		Financial Statement Type	Test Status
		Financial Statement Line	Transaction Processes
		Financial Account	Process
		Fiscal Month	Sub-Process
		Year	Activity
		Quarter	
		Month	

FUNCTION	DECISION ROLES	PRIMARY WORK	CONTRIBUTORY	STATUS
IT / Systems	Executives	*		
	Managers	*		
	Analysts	*		
	Professionals	*		
Audit	Executives			*
	Managers	*		
	Professionals	*		
Finance	Executives			*
	Analysts		*	
Customer Service	Executives			*
Distribution	Executives			*
Operations / Production	Executives			*
Purchasing	Executives			*
Product Development	Executives			*
Sales	Executives			*
Marketing	Executives			*
Human Resources	Executives			*

The third source is metadata itself. Today, companies have mostly manual internal controls. Approximately two-thirds or more are “detective” controls, versus the more reliable “preventive” ones. Detective controls involve reviewing transaction records in both detailed and summary form. For example, reviewing an accounts receivable trial balance is a detective control. In order for greater reliance to be placed on these controls, there must be a clear audit trail linking the source of information with the definitions and business rules that apply. Being able to monitor and analyze which metadata governs which reports and who has access to it creates a more reliable control environment. It also supports the enforcement of existing data architecture standards.



The Project / SDLC Management and IT Vendor Management decision areas illustrate how the IT function can monitor its performance, allocate resources, and set plans for future financial targets.

The DecisionSpeed® Framework, the Decision Areas and its core content, and all intellectual property rights therein, are proprietary to BI International, and are protected by copyright and other intellectual property laws. No part of the DecisionSpeed® Framework, the Decision Areas and its core content can be reproduced, transferred, distributed, repackaged, or used in any way without BI International's written permission. DecisionSpeed® and Decision Areas are trademarks of BI International.



ABOUT THE PERFORMANCE MANAGER

The book, *The Performance Manager*, is authored by:

Roland P. Mosimann *Chief Executive Officer, BI International*

As CEO and co-founder of BI International, Roland has led major client relationships and thought leadership initiatives for the company. Most recently he drove the launch of the Aline™ platform for on-demand Governance, Risk and Compliance. Roland is also a co-author of the Multidimensional Manager and the Multidimensional Organization. He holds an MBA from the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania and a B.Sc. (Econ) from the London School of Economics.

Patrick Mosimann *Founding & Joint Managing Director, PMSI Consulting*

As co-founder of PMSI (Practical Management Solutions & Insights), Patrick has led major client engagements and has significant experience across a number of industry sectors. Patrick Mosimann also holds an MBA from the Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania and a B.Sc. (Econ) from the London School of Economics, University of London.

Meg Dussault *Director of Analyst Relations and Corporate Positioning, Cognos, before it was acquired by IBM*

Meg started her marketing career 15 years ago, beginning with campaign management for the national telecommunications carrier of Canada. She then moved to market development for Internet retail and chip-embedded smart cards before moving to product marketing with Cognos, which was purchased by IBM, where she has been for 8 years. She has worked extensively with executives and decision makers within the Global 3500 to define and prioritize performance management solutions. This work was leveraged to help shape the vision of IBM Cognos performance management solutions and to communicate the message to key influencers.

About Cognos, an IBM company

Cognos, an IBM company, is the world leader in business intelligence and performance management solutions. It provides world-class enterprise planning and BI software and services to help companies plan, understand and manage financial and operational performance. Cognos was acquired by IBM in February 2008. For more information, visit <http://www.cognos.com>.



IMM14043CAEN