



HOME

User Manual

DELMIA Process Engineer[®]

Manufacturing Accountability Check



Foreword

This manual provides an introduction to the basic operations and functions of the Manufacturing Accountability Check.

While developing these functions we have made every effort to create a clearly organized, easy-to-understand program structure.

A user-friendly interface as well as a clear menu guide will enable you to quickly learn how to operate the program and to get familiar with its functions so that you can carry out your planning tasks in a quick and reliable way.

No Liability or Guarantee

Our programs and manuals have been compiled with great care and to the best of our knowledge. They have also been tested in a production setting. However, we assume no liability and provide no guarantee that the software and related descriptions are free of error or are suitable for special purposes.

DELMIA assumes no liability for any damage that may arise from the use of this software. By using this software, the user acknowledges this exclusion from liability and shall hold DELMIA exempt from all claims.

Copyright

The information in our documents may be copied and distributed for internal purposes provided it is done free of charge and the contents are not altered or distorted.

Any other form of usage, especially the sale on CD-ROM or in any other publication in whole or in part is only permitted after prior written consent by DELMIA.

Some parts of this software are owned by Unigraphics Solutions Inc. and are copyrighted © 2011. All rights reserved.

Some parts of this software are owned by combit® GmbH and are copyrighted. Report-/Print module List and Label® Version 15.0: Copyright combit® GmbH 1991-2011.

Modifications

Moreover, DELMIA retains the right to make modifications and improvements to the product described in this manual at any time without prior notification.

DELMIA and the 3DS logo are registered trademarks of Dassault Systèmes or its subsidiaries, in the United States or other countries.

This clause applies to all acquisitions of DASSAULT SYSTÈMES commercial computer software by or for the United States federal government, or by any prime contractor or subcontractor (at any tier) under any contract, grant, cooperative agreement, or other activity with the federal government. By accepting delivery of this software, the United States government hereby agrees that this software qualifies as “commercial” computer software within the meaning of the acquisition regulation(s) applicable to this procurement. The terms and conditions of the DASSAULT SYSTÈMES standard commercial end user license agreement shall pertain to the United States government’s use and disclosure of this software, and shall supersede any conflicting contractual terms and conditions. If the DASSAULT SYSTÈMES standard commercial license

fails to meet the United States government's needs or is inconsistent in any respect with United States Federal law, the United States government agrees to return this software, unused, to DASSAULT SYSTÈMES. The following additional statement applies only to acquisitions governed by DFARS Subpart 227.4 (October 1988): "Restricted Rights – use, duplication, and disclosure by the Government is subject to restrictions as set forth in subparagraph (c)(l)(ii) of the Rights in Technical Data and Computer Software clause at DFARS 252-227-7013 (Oct. 1988)."

© 2001-2011 Dassault Systèmes - All Rights Reserved

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	2
1.1 How to Use this Manual	2
1.2 Documentation Conventions and Symbols	2
1.3 New Functions in Manufacturing Accountability Check	3
2. Overview	4
2.1 Server Support	4
2.1.1 Action Filter Mode	4
2.1.2 User Exit Framework	5
2.1.3 Customization	5
2.1.4 User Exit Messaging with ENOVIA and IPD WebService	6
2.2 Exemplary Manufacturing Accountability Check	7
2.2.1 Creating the UserExit DLL	9
2.2.2 Class XCMCCheck	10
List of Figures	20
List of Tables	21
Index	22

1. Introduction

This manual explains how to use the Process Engineer Manufacturing Accountability Check for your planning purposes.

1.1 How to Use this Manual

This manual enables you to get familiar with the operation and functions of the Process Engineer. This manual briefly describes:

- Manufacturing accountability check functions



Note

When handling the Manufacturing accountability check functions, please also refer to the general introduction to Process Engineer in the General Introduction Manual.



Click [General Introduction](#) to access the manual.

1.2 Documentation Conventions and Symbols

The symbols used in this manual are intended to provide you with keys to the contents in an immediately understandable manner.



This symbol is used to introduce key concepts that are covered in the sections immediately following this symbol. As a result, this symbol most frequently appears at the beginning of chapters or sections.



Note

*This symbol is used to mark notes, which provide you with additional information you need to have for further work. You will either find the Note sign at the beginning of a chapter or in a particular text passage in the chapter. Texts bearing this sign are additionally marked with **Note**. The text is always in italics.*




Caution

*This symbol indicates that the text that follows describes particular circumstances that you must avoid to avoid potential errors with the operation of the program or harm to data. You will either find the Caution sign at the beginning of a chapter or near a particular text passage in the chapter. Texts that are introduced by this sign are additionally marked with **Caution**. The text is always in italics.*

Example

This symbol marks examples which serve to illustrate a certain situation.

- 1) This symbol marks the individual operational steps involved in a particular operating instruction. Operating instructions describe operational steps, for example, how to open a menu or execute a function.
- This symbol marks listed subjects. The symbol for listed subjects can be either used to structure a continuous text or to list main subject keywords.
- This symbol marks list inside a bulleted or numbered list.
-  This symbol marks cross reference information that is available in another manual.

1.3 New Functions in Manufacturing Accountability Check

[User Exit Messaging with ENOVIA and IPD WebService](#)

The user exit messages are displayed through the attribute *userexiterrormsg*, set accordingly during the "User Exit for Manufacturing Accountability" implementation using **WriteErrorMsg** method.

2. Overview

The manufacturing accountability check is called each time, when the state of an action is updated. The state is modified, only in the case, when the check is successful.

The manufacturing accountability check is implemented by a customer within a DLL. In order to establish the call, a COM object server (out-of-process server) is placed between IPD server and the customer DLL. The IPD server creates an instance of the COM server. The COM server loads the customer DLL and finally calls the execute function.

In order to perform the manufacturing accountability, check a new filter mode is required that displays components used by a selected action irrespective of set planning state filter criteria.

This function allows to:

- Store information in a blob that is needed as input for the manufacturing accountability check.
- Provide a framework in a form of a COM object server to implement a DLL (so-called User Exit).
- Call the execute function of a DLL in order to perform the check.
- Display components used by a selected action irrespective of set planning state filter criteria.

2.1 Server Support

In order to perform the manufacturing accountability check, input data is necessary. The input data is stored into a blob and populated by web-services when the action state is updated.

The IPD server provides accessor-methods to manage the content of this blob as a string. Its content and internal format is specified by the customer who is implementing the User Exit.

When the update method for the action state is called, the IPD server checks in the Registry whether a User Exit for the manufacturing accountability check is defined. Only when a User Exit is defined the execute function is called. Depending on the return value of the execute function, the transaction is committed or not.

When the User Exit reports warnings, there is a flag, that indicates whether the update of the action state is performed or not. In any case, the warnings are reported to the user.

2.1.1 Action Filter Mode

In order to perform the manufacturing accountability, check a new filter mode is required that displays components used by a selected action irrespective of set planning state filter criteria.

This filter mode is triggered by the transient filter attribute **filter_action**.

When the attribute **filter_action** is set to the value true, the versions of components that belong to the current selected action pass the filter irrespective of set planning state filter criteria.

This filter mode is intended to be used only by manufacturing accountability check.

2.1.2 User Exit Framework

This provides a possibility to check the data consistency by the customer itself within a DLL (so-called User Exit). Instead of calling the Execute function directly by the IPD server, a COM object server (out-of-process server) is placed between IPD server and customer DLL. The IPD server creates an instance of the COM server. The COM server loads the customer DLL and calls its Execute function. This indirect call reduces the possible impact on the IPD server from erroneous behaviour of the customer DLL.

The customer DLL is restricted to read-only access to IPD data. An attempt to execute writing methods is rejected with an error code.

The following data is added to the data model:

2.1.2.1 Type: actionproxy

The type is extended by the following attribute:

Table 1: Type: actionproxy

Name	Type	Description
externaldata	String (Stored as blob)	External data input for manufacturing accountability check.
userexiterrormsg	String	Error message reported by user exit.
forceupdate	Bool	Flag to indicate that the update is enforced in case that user exit reports warnings.
changeorderid	String	Top level change order identifier.

2.1.2.2 Type: ergoproject

The type is extended by the following attribute:

Table 2: Type: ergoproject

Name	Type	Description
filter_action	Bool	Set action filter mode

2.1.3 Customization

Name and path of the customer DLL have to be specified by an entry in the windows registry.

In **HKEY_LOCAL_MACHINE\SOFTWARE\DELMIA\IPDSERVER\UserExit** the string “**ManufacturingAccountabilityCheck**” has to be created, which holds as value path and name of the DLL.

Limitation

The customer DLL is restricted to read-only access to IPD data.

2.1.4 User Exit Messaging with ENOVIA and IPD WebService

User Exit Messages are also displayed in ENOVIA for the MCM projects in attached mode, when **Manufacturing accountability check** is enabled at Manufacturing HUB server.

The user exit messages are displayed through the attribute `userexiterrormsg`, set accordingly during the "User Exit for Manufacturing Accountability" implementation using **WriteErrorMsg** method. All MCM related exception codes are also updated to get displayed in the ENOVIA when the corresponding error occurs in Manufacturing HUB.

A dialog box of the manufacturing action promotion success or failure in ENOVIA appears depending on the promotion success or failure.

When a failure mode is detected by the user-exit, a pop-up window appears listing the failing object name/id and a brief description of the reason for failure. The failure reason can be set during the user exit implementation.

The following codes are used in the "User Exit for Manufacturing Accountability" implementation:

S_OK: For successful promotion of manufacturing action.

E_USER_EXIT_FAILED: For failure of promotion of manufacturing action.

E_USER_EXIT_WARNING: Display the warning during the promotion of manufacturing action.



Note

Make sure the latest versions of the ENOVIA, IPD Web Service and M-HUB are installed.

Case1: Failure of user exit message is displayed during the creation/promotion of manufacturing action in ENOVIA.

Run the following user exit manufacturing accountability check Implementation

```
HRESULT _stdcall Execute (XUserExitServices* pUEServices)
{
    AFX_MANAGE_STATE(AfxGetStaticModuleState());
    if( !pUEServices )
        return E_INVALIDARG;
    else
    {
        // Acceptance Test Failed
        pUEServices->WriteErrorMsg( L"Action Promotion Failed - E_USER_EXIT_FAILED");
        return E_USER_EXIT_FAILED;
    }
}
```

Create a manufacturing action in ENOVIA; a dialog box with the message "Action Promotion Failed – E_USER_EXIT_FAILED" appears because the User-exit implementation has returned "E_USEREXIT_FAILED" error code. As a result, the manufacturing action will not be created in ENOVIA as well as in DELMIA PROCESS Engineer (Manufacturing HUB).

Case 2: Warning user exit message is displayed during the creation/promotion of manufacturing action in ENOVIA.

Run the following user exit manufacturing accountability check Implementation

```
HRESULT _stdcall Execute (XUserExitServices* pUEServices)
{
    AFX_MANAGE_STATE(AfxGetStaticModuleState());
```

```

if( !pUEServices )
    return E_INVALIDARG;
else
{
    // Acceptance Test returned Warning!!
    pUEServices->WriteErrorMsg( L"Action Promotion Successful but
with Warnings!- E_USER_EXIT_WARNING ");
    return E_USER_EXIT_WARNING;
}

```

Create a manufacturing action in ENOVIA; a dialog box appears with the message “Action Promotion Successful but with Warnings!- E_USER_EXIT_WARNING” because the User-exit implementation has returned “E_USER_EXIT_WARNING.” As a result, the action will not be created in ENOVIA as well as in DELMIA PROCESS Engineer (Manufacturing HUB).



Note

The action can be created or promoted for the above case if the attribute “ForceUpdate” on the action proxy is enabled manually on the M-HUB side. Please also refer to Section 2.1.2.1.

Case 3: Successful user exit message is displayed during the creation/promotion of manufacturing action in ENOVIA.

Run the following user exit manufacturing accountability check Implementation

```

HRESULT _stdcall Execute (XUserExitServices* pUEServices)
{
    AFX_MANAGE_STATE(AfxGetStaticModuleState());
    if( !pUEServices )
        return E_INVALIDARG;
    else
    {
        //Acceptance Test Successful.
        pUEServices->WriteErrorMsg( L"Action Promotion is Successful but
with Warnings!- S_OK");
        return S_OK;
    }
}

```

Create a manufacturing action in ENOVIA and a dialog box appears with the message “Action Promotion is Successful!- S_OK” because the User-exit implementation has returned “S_OK.” As a result, the action will be created in ENOVIA as well as in DELMIA PROCESS Engineer (Manufacturing HUB).

2.2 Exemplary Manufacturing Accountability Check

The purpose of the exemplary manufacturing accountability check is to show how the API of the IPD server can be used by the User Exit to implement one possible manufacturing accountability check. The manufacturing accountability check presented here does not claim to be complete and sufficient for all purposes.

The exemplary manufacturing accountability check is performed in two steps:

- Manufacturing accountability check regarding the manufacturing extended effectivity:
 - a) The check iterates thorough all parts listed in the external data field. For each part the extended effectivity is obtained.
 - b) For a part all relations Process First Processes Product are collected. For each collected relations the extended effectivity is obtained.
 - c) For a collected relation Process First Processes Product the process is retrieved.

- d) For each process, all relations Nodes are collected. For each collected relation Nodes the extended effectivity is obtained.
- e) For a collected relation Nodes the parent process is retrieved.
- f) The Steps d and e are repeated until a top level component of the process structure is reached.
- g) A logical expression is built according to the following rules:
 - For each single path from a part to a top level component obtained extended effectivities are connected by AND to build a logical expression.
 - The resulting expression is computed by connecting different paths by OR.
 - The manufacturing accountability check is satisfied when the extended effectivity of a part is fully contained in the computed expression.
- Manufacturing accountability check regarding the engineering extended effectivity:
 - a) The check iterates thorough all parts listed in the external data field. Furthermore, for each part the engineering extended effectivity is retrieved from the external data filed.
 - b) The same procedure is repeated as for Step 1, but the relation Process Removes Product is used instead of Process First Processes Product. The expression is built.
 - c) The resulting expression is computed by cutting the resulting expression of Step 1 by the resulting expression of Step 2.
 - d) The engineering accountability check is satisfied when the engineering extended effectivity of a part is logically equal to the computed expression.

The Figure 1 shows an example of a process and product structure for which the manufacturing accountability check is described.




Figure 1: Process and Product Structure

There are two paths (orange and blue) relevant for Step 1. The following expressions can be built by following these two paths:

- Orange: $(R(1-oo) \& A) \& R(1-oo) \& R(1-9) \& R(1-oo)$
- Blue: $(R(1-oo) \& A) \& R(1-oo) \& R(10-oo) \& R(1-oo)$

Thus, the resulting expression for Step 1 is

$(R(1-oo) \& A) \& R(1-oo) \& R(1-9) \& R(1-oo) \mid (R(1-oo) \& A) \& R(1-oo) \& R(10-oo) \& R(1-oo))$,

in which the manufacturing extended effectivity of the part $(R(1-oo) \& A)$ is fully contained.

There is only one path that is relevant for Step 2 yielding the following expression:

$(R(1-oo) \& A) \& R(8-oo) \& R(8-oo) \& R(1-oo)$

The cut built with expressions computed from expressions of Step 1 and Step 2 is logically equal to $(R(1-7) \& A)$.

This is a guideline how to implement the User Exit that is used by acceptance tests.

2.2.1 Creating the UserExit DLL

2.2.1.1 Create Project

In Microsoft Developer Studio create a new MFC DLL Project. In the following it is assumed that this project is named MyUserExit. You may leave the default settings (Regular DLL using shared MFC DLL, No automation) of the project wizard.

Create Implementation File

Create a header file and an implementation file to implement the necessary interface method Execute.

The exemplary implementation of the method Execute uses the XCMCCheckAcceptanceTest class to show the usage of the exposed interface of the extended effectivity parser. The interface methods of the parser are written bold.

The error handling is reduced to minimum, in order to improve readability.

XMyUserExitAPI.h

```
#include "stdafx.h"
class XUserExitServices;

extern "C" HRESULT __stdcall Execute(XUserExitServices* pUEServices);
```

XMyUserExitAPI.cpp

```
#include "XMyUserExitAPI.h"
#include "XUserExitServices.h"
HRESULT __stdcall Execute(XUserExitServices* pUEServices)
{
    AFX_MANAGE_STATE(AfxGetStaticModuleState());
    if ( !pUEServices )
        return E_POINTER;
    XCMCCheckAcceptanceTest check(pUEServices);
    return check.Check();
}
```

Export the method Execute in the **MyUserExit.def** file.

MyUserExit.def

```
; MyUserExit.def : Declares the module parameters for the DLL.

LIBRARY      "MyUserExit"

EXPORTS
Execute
```

2.2.1.2 Build Project

Build a Unicode Release version of the DLL.

2.2.1.3 Create User Exit Key in the Registry

Create in registry the 'HKEY_LOCAL_MACHINE\SOFTWARE\DELMIA\IPDSERVER\UserExit' key and below the string value "ManufacturingAccountabilityCheck" where the path and name of the DLL has to be entered.

It should not make any difference which path for the DLL is chosen since there are no direct dependencies to DPE libraries.

2.2.2 Class XCMCCheck

The exemplary implementation of the method Execute uses the XCMCCheckAcceptanceTest class to show the usage of the exposed interface of the extended effectivity parser. The interface methods of the parser are written bold.

The XCMCCheck is the base class of the XCMCCheckAcceptanceTest class.

XCMCCheck.h

```
#pragma once

#include "basedataobj.h"
#include "epserver/userexitplugin/XUserExitServices.h"

class XCMCCheck
{
public:
    XCMCCheck(XUserExitServices* pUEServices);
    virtual ~XCMCCheck(void);

    virtual HRESULT Check(void) = 0;

protected:
    HRESULT GetServerObject(XUserExitServices::OBJECTTYPE
enumObjectType,
    REFIID refIID,
    CComQIPtr<IEP_BaseDataObject>& ptrIEPBaseDataObject );

    HRESULT GetObjectById(const CComBSTR& bstrObjectId,
        CComQIPtr<IEP_BaseDataObject>& ptrIEPBaseDataObject);

    HRESULT GetObjectByUUID(const CComBSTR& bstrUUID,
        const CComBSTR& bstrTypeName,
        CComQIPtr<IEP_BaseDataObject>& ptrIEPBaseDataObject);

    void GetAttributeValue(CComVariant& varAttributeValue);
    void GetAttributeName(CComBSTR& bstrAttributeName);
    void GetObjectId(CComBSTR& bstrObjectId);

    void WriteErrorMsg(const CComBSTR& bstrTypeName);

private:
    XUserExitServices* m_pUEServices;
};
```

XCMCCheck.cpp

```
#include "StdAfx.h"
#include "xcmccheck.h"
#include "ObjectQuery.h"

XCMCCheck::XCMCCheck(XUserExitServices* pUEServices) :
```

```

        m_pUEServices(pUEServices)
    {
        AFX_MANAGE_STATE(AfxGetStaticModuleState());
    }

XCMCCheck::~XCMCCheck(void)
{
    AFX_MANAGE_STATE(AfxGetStaticModuleState());
}

void XCMCCheck::GetAttributeValue(CComVariant& varAttributeValue)
{
    AFX_MANAGE_STATE(AfxGetStaticModuleState());

    if ( m_pUEServices )
        m_pUEServices->GetAttributeValue(&varAttributeValue);
}

void XCMCCheck::GetAttributeName(CComBSTR& bstrAttributeName)
{
    AFX_MANAGE_STATE(AfxGetStaticModuleState());

    if ( m_pUEServices )
        m_pUEServices->GetAttributeName(&bstrAttributeName);
}

void XCMCCheck::GetObjectId(CComBSTR& bstrObjectId)
{
    AFX_MANAGE_STATE(AfxGetStaticModuleState());

    if ( m_pUEServices )
        m_pUEServices->GetObjectId(&bstrObjectId);
}

HRESULT XCMCCheck::GetObjectById(
    const CComBSTR& bstrObjectId,
    CComQIPtr<IEP_BaseDataObject>& ptrIEPBaseDataObject)
{
    AFX_MANAGE_STATE(AfxGetStaticModuleState());

    if ( !m_pUEServices )
        return E_POINTER;

    CComPtr<IEP_Query> ptrIEP_Query;
    HRESULT hr = m_pUEServices->GetServerObject(
        XUserExitServices::OT_QUERY, __uuidof(IEP_Query),
        (void**)&ptrIEP_Query );
    if ( S_OK != hr )
        return hr;

    CComPtr<IUnknown> pUnk;
    hr = ptrIEP_Query->GetObjectById(bstrObjectId, &pUnk);
    ptrIEPBaseDataObject = pUnk;

    return hr;
}

HRESULT XCMCCheck::GetObjectByUUID(
    const CComBSTR& bstrUUID,
    const CComBSTR& bstrTypeName,
    CComQIPtr<IEP_BaseDataObject>& ptrIEPBaseDataObject)
{
    AFX_MANAGE_STATE(AfxGetStaticModuleState());

    if ( !m_pUEServices )
        return E_POINTER;

    CComPtr<IEP_Query> ptrIEP_Query;
    HRESULT hr = m_pUEServices->GetServerObject(
        XUserExitServices::OT_QUERY, __uuidof(IEP_Query),

```

```

(void**) &ptrIEP_Query );
    if ( S_OK != hr )
        return hr;

    CComPtr<IUnknown> pUnk;
    hr = ptrIEP_Query->GetObjectByUUID(bstrUUID, bstrTypeName, &pUnk);
    ptrIEPBaseDataObject = pUnk;

    return hr;
}

HRESULT XCMCCheck::GetServerObject(
    XUserExitServices::OBJECTTYPE enumObjectType,
    REFIID refIID,
    CComQIPtr<IEP_BaseDataObject> & ptrIEPBaseDataObject )
{
    AFX_MANAGE_STATE(AfxGetStaticModuleState());

    if ( !m_pUEServices )
        return E_POINTER;

    return m_pUEServices->GetServerObject(
        enumObjectType, refIID, (void**) &ptrIEPBaseDataObject );
}

void XCMCCheck::WriteErrorMsg(const CComBSTR& bstrTypeName)
{
    AFX_MANAGE_STATE(AfxGetStaticModuleState());

    if ( m_pUEServices )
        m_pUEServices->WriteErrorMsg(bstrTypeName);
}

```

XCMCCheckAcceptanceTest.h

```

#pragma once
#include "xcmccheck.h"

#include "epfilter2.h"

#include <string>
#include <map>
#include <vector>

class XUserExitServices;

class XCMCCheckAcceptanceTest : public XCMCCheck
{
public:
    XCMCCheckAcceptanceTest(XUserExitServices* pUEServices);
    virtual ~XCMCCheckAcceptanceTest();

    virtual HRESULT Check();

private:
    /**
     * Return the map of products ids (as key) and engineering extended
     effectivities
     * Products are separated by ',' and the engineering extended effec-
     tivities by '@'
     */
    void GetProducts(
        const std::wstring& strExternalData,
        std::map<std::wstring, std::wstring> & mapProducts);

    /** Split the string, wherein single parts are separated by comma */
    void Split(
        const std::wstring& strExternalData,
        std::vector<std::wstring> & vectorProducts);
}

```

```

/** Set filter */
void SetFilter(
    const CComQIPtr<IEP_BaseDataObject>& ptrProject,
    const CComQIPtr<IEP_BaseDataObject>& ptrActionProxy);

/** Perform manufacturing accountability check for a given part */
bool CheckManufacturingAccountability(
    const CComQIPtr<IEPFilter>& ptrParser,
    const CComQIPtr<IEP_BaseDataObject>& ptrProduct,
    const CComBSTR& bstrExtendedEffectivity,
    CComBSTR& bstrExtendedEffectivityResult);

/**
 * Perform engineering accountability check for a given part and
 * an engineering extended effectivity
 */
bool CheckEngineeringAccountability(
    const CComQIPtr<IEPFilter>& ptrParser,
    const CComQIPtr<IEP_BaseDataObject>& ptrProduct,
    const CComBSTR& bstrExtendedEffectivity,
    const CComBSTR& bstrEngineeringEffectivity,
    const CComBSTR& bstrManufacturingCheckEffectivity);

/**
 * Iterates over relations Nodes and for all paths from a given proc-
ess
 * to the top level node in the process structure
 * compute the extended effectivity and store in the vector.
 */
void ComputeEffectivityOverNodes(
    const CComQIPtr<IEPFilter>& ptrParser,
    const CComQIPtr<IEP_BaseDataObject>& ptrProcess,
    std::vector<CComBSTR>& vectorPaths,
    const CComBSTR& bstrCurrentEffectivity);

/** Compute the extended effectivity over relation source objects */
void ComputeEffectivityOverSourceObjects(
    CComQIPtr<IEnumBaseDataObject> ptrRelations,
    const CComQIPtr<IEPFilter>& ptrParser,
    std::vector<CComBSTR>& vectorPaths,
    const CComBSTR& bstrCurrentEffectivity);

/**
 * Removes left and right whitespaces
 * - whitespaces: (0x09 - 0x0D or 0x20
 */
std::wstring& Trim (std::wstring& strIn);
};

```

XCMCCheckAcceptanceTest.cpp

```

#include "StdAfx.h"
#include "xmccheckacceptancetest.h"

#include "relation.h"
#include "epserver/userexitplugin/XUserExitServices.h"
#include "dpf/eperror/comretcodes.h"

#include <algorithm>
#include <exception>

XCMCCheckAcceptanceTest::XCMCCheckAcceptanceTest(XUserExitServices* pUESer-
vices) :
    XCMCCheck(pUEServices)
{
    AFX_MANAGE_STATE(AfxGetStaticModuleState());
}

XCMCCheckAcceptanceTest::~XCMCCheckAcceptanceTest()
{
    AFX_MANAGE_STATE(AfxGetStaticModuleState());
}

```



```

HRESULT XCMCCheckAcceptanceTest::Check()
{
    try
    {
        AFX_MANAGE_STATE(AfxGetStaticModuleState());

        CComBSTR bstrAttributeName;
        GetAttributeName(bstrAttributeName);

        // This DLL should only be called when attribute 'state' of
        // class XDOActionProxy is set
        if ( bstrAttributeName == CComBSTR(L"state"))
        {
            CComVariant varActionState;
            GetAttributeValue(varActionState);
            CComBSTR bstrActionState(varActionState.bstrVal);
            if ( bstrActionState == L"released" )
            {
                // Get action proxy object
                CComBSTR bstrActionProxyId;
                GetObjectId(bstrActionProxyId);
                CComQIPtr<IEP_BaseDataObject> ptrActionProxy;
                HRESULT hr = GetObjectById(bstrActionProxyId,
ptrActionProxy);

                if ( S_OK != hr )
                    throw std::runtime_error("Internal runtime
error");

                // Get attribute 'externaldata'
                // (UUID of product to be checked) of action
proxy.

                CComVariant varExternalData;
                hr = ptrActionProxy->GetAttribute(
                    CComBSTR(L"externaldata"),
                    &varExternalData);
                if ( S_OK != hr )
                    throw std::runtime_error("Internal runtime
error");

                // Get project
                CComVariant varProject;
                hr = ptrActionProxy->GetAttribute(
                    CComBSTR(L"ergoproject"),
                    &varProject);
                if ( S_OK != hr )
                    throw std::runtime_error("Internal runtime
error");

                CComQIPtr<IEP_BaseDataObject> ptrProject (varPro-
ject.punkVal);

                SetFilter(ptrProject, ptrActionProxy);

                CComQIPtr<IEPFilter> ptrParser = ptrProject;
                if (! ptrParser )
                    throw std::runtime_error("Internal runtime
error");

                std::map<std::wstring, std::wstring> mapProducts;
                GetProducts(varExternalData.bstrVal, mapProducts);
                for(std::map<std::wstring,
std::wstring>::const_iterator iter = mapProducts.begin();
iter != mapProducts.end();
                    ++iter)
                {
                    // iterate through all parts
                    std::wstring strId = iter->first;
                    std::wstring strEffectivity = iter->second;

                    // get a part

```

```

        CComQIPtr<IEP_BaseDataObject> ptrProduct;
        hr = GetObjectByUUID(CComBSTR(
            strId.c_str()),
            CComBSTR(L"ergocompproductdefault"),
            ptrProduct);
        if ( S_OK != hr )
            return hr;

        CComVariant varExtendedEffectivity;
        hr = ptrProduct->GetAttribute(
            CComBSTR(L"extendedeffectivity"),
            &varExtendedEffectivity);
        if ( S_OK != hr )
            throw std::runtime_error("Internal

runtime error");

        CComQIPtr<IEPRelation> ptrProductRel =
        ptrProduct;

        if (! ptrProductRel )
            throw std::runtime_error("Internal

runtime error");

        // perform manufacturing accountability

        CComBSTR bstrExtendedEffectivityResult;
        if (!CheckManufacturingAccountability(
            varProject.punkVal,
            ptrProduct,
            varExtendedEffectivity.bstrVal,
            bstrExtendedEffectivityResult))

            return E_USER_EXIT_FAILED;

        // perform engineering accountability check
        if (!CheckEngineeringAccountability(
            varProject.punkVal,
            ptrProduct,
            varExtendedEffectivity.bstrVal,
            CComBSTR(strEffectivity.c_str()),
            bstrExtendedEffectivityResult))

            return E_USER_EXIT_FAILED;

    }

    }

    return S_OK;
}
catch(std::exception&)
{
    return E_FAIL;
}
}

void XCMCCheckAcceptanceTest::SetFilter(
    const CComQIPtr<IEP_BaseDataObject>& ptrProject,
    const CComQIPtr<IEP_BaseDataObject>& ptrActionProxy)
{
    HRESULT hr = ptrProject->SetAttribute(CComBSTR(L"filter_action"),
    CComVariant(TRUE));
    if ( S_OK != hr )
        throw std::runtime_error("Internal runtime error");

    CComPtr<IEnumBaseDataObject> ptrMods;
    hr = ptrActionProxy->GetChildren(CComBSTR(L"modstatement"),
    &ptrMods);
    if ( S_OK != hr )
        throw std::runtime_error("Internal runtime error");

    // take any mod to set it as filter
    CComPtr<IEP_BaseDataObject> ptrMod;
    hr = ptrMods->GetSingleNext(&ptrMod);

```

```

        if ( S_OK != hr )
            throw std::runtime_error("Internal runtime error");

        hr = ptrProject->SetAttribute(
            CComBSTR(L"selectedmodstatement"),
            CComVariant(ptrMod));
        if ( S_OK != hr )
            throw std::runtime_error("Internal runtime error");
    }

    bool XCMCCheckAcceptanceTest::CheckManufacturingAccountability(
        const CComQIPtr<IEPFilter>& ptrParser,
        const CComQIPtr<IEP_BaseDataObject>& ptrProduct,
        const CComBSTR& bstrExtendedEffectivity,
        CComBSTR& bstrExtendedEffectivityResult)
    {
        CComQIPtr<IEPRelation> ptrProductRel = ptrProduct;
        if (! ptrProductRel )
            throw std::runtime_error("Internal runtime error");

        CComQIPtr<IEnumBaseDataObject> ptrRelations;
        HRESULT hr = ptrProductRel->GetRelationshipsByNameEnum(
            TRUE,
            CComBSTR(L"proc_firstprocesses_prod_reverse"),
            &ptrRelations);

        if (FAILED(hr))
            throw std::runtime_error("Internal runtime error");

        if (S_OK == hr)
        {
            std::vector<CComBSTR> vectorPaths;
            ComputeEffectivityOverSourceObjects(
                ptrRelations,
                ptrParser,
                vectorPaths,
                bstrExtendedEffectivity);

            // create a resulting effectivity expression by connecting
            paths by OR
            for(std::vector<CComBSTR>::const_iterator iter = vector-
            Paths.begin();
                iter != vectorPaths.end();
                ++iter)
            {
                hr = ptrParser->OrExtendedEffectivities(
                    bstrExtendedEffectivityResult,
                    (*iter),
                    &bstrExtendedEffectivityResult);
                if ( S_OK != hr )
                    throw std::runtime_error("Internal runtime error");
            }

            // perform manufacturing accountability check
            BOOL bContained = FALSE;
            hr = ptrParser->ContainedInExtendedEffectivity(
                bstrExtendedEffectivity,
                bstrExtendedEffectivityResult,
                &bContained);
            if ( S_OK != hr )
                throw std::runtime_error("Internal runtime error");

            return (bContained == TRUE)? true : false;
        }
        // A relation between the product and a process
        ("proc_firstprocesses_prod")
        // must be established
        return false;
    }

    bool XCMCCheckAcceptanceTest::CheckEngineeringAccountability(

```

```

const CComQIPtr<IEPFilter>& ptrParser,
const CComQIPtr<IEP_BaseDataObject>& ptrProduct,
const CComBSTR& bstrExtendedEffectivity,
const CComBSTR& bstrEngineeringEffectivity,
const CComBSTR& bstrManufacturingCheckEffectivity)
{

    CComQIPtr<IEPRelation> ptrProductRel = ptrProduct;
    if (! ptrProductRel )
        throw std::runtime_error("Internal runtime error");

    CComQIPtr<IEnumBaseDataObject> ptrRelations;
    HRESULT hr = ptrProductRel->GetRelationshipsByNameEnum(
        TRUE,
        CComBSTR(L"proc_removes_prod_reverse"),
        &ptrRelations);
    if (FAILED(hr))
        throw std::runtime_error("Internal runtime error");

    CComBSTR bstrEngineeringCheckEffectivity;
    if (S_OK == hr)
    {
        std::vector<CComBSTR> vectorPaths;
        ComputeEffectivityOverSourceObjects(
            ptrRelations,
            ptrParser,
            vectorPaths,
            bstrExtendedEffectivity);

        // create a resulting effectivity expression by connecting
        paths by OR
        for(std::vector<CComBSTR>::const_iterator iter = vector-
Paths.begin();
            iter != vectorPaths.end();
            ++iter)
        {
            hr = ptrParser->OrExtendedEffectivities(
                bstrEngineeringCheckEffectivity,
                (*iter),
                &bstrEngineeringCheckEffectivity);
            if ( S_OK != hr )
                throw std::runtime_error("Internal runtime er-
ror");
        }
    }

    CComBSTR bstrExtendedEffectivityResult;
    hr = ptrParser->CutExtendedEffectivity(
        bstrManufacturingCheckEffectivity,
        bstrEngineeringCheckEffectivity,
        &bstrExtendedEffectivityResult);
    if ( S_OK != hr )
        throw std::runtime_error("Internal runtime error");

    // perform engineering accountability check
    BOOL bEqual = FALSE;
    hr = ptrParser->EqualExtendedEffectivity(
        bstrExtendedEffectivityResult,
        bstrEngineeringEffectivity,
        &bEqual);
    if ( S_OK != hr )
        throw std::runtime_error("Internal runtime error");

    return (bEqual == TRUE)? true : false;
}

void XCMCCheckAcceptanceTest::ComputeEffectivityOverNodes(
    const CComQIPtr<IEPFilter>& ptrParser,
    const CComQIPtr<IEP_BaseDataObject>& ptrProcess,
    std::vector<CComBSTR>& vectorPaths,
    const CComBSTR& bstrCurrentEffectivity)

```

```

{
    CComQIPtr<IEPRelation> ptrProcessRel = ptrProcess;
    if (! ptrProcessRel )
        throw std::runtime_error("Internal runtime error");

    CComQIPtr<IEnumBaseDataObject> ptrRelations;
    HRESULT hr = ptrProcessRel->GetRelationshipsByNameEnum(
        TRUE,
        CComBSTR(L"nodes_reverse"),
        &ptrRelations);
    if (FAILED(hr))
        throw std::runtime_error("Internal runtime error");

    if(S_FALSE == hr)
        vectorPaths.push_back(bstrCurrentEffectivity);
    else if(S_OK == hr)
        ComputeEffectivityOverSourceObjects(
            ptrRelations,
            ptrParser,
            vectorPaths,
            bstrCurrentEffectivity);
    else
        throw std::runtime_error("Internal runtime error");
}

void XCMCCheckAcceptanceTest::ComputeEffectivityOverSourceObjects(
    CComQIPtr<IEnumBaseDataObject> ptrRelations,
    const CComQIPtr<IEPFilter>& ptrParser,
    std::vector<CComBSTR>& vectorPaths,
    const CComBSTR& bstrCurrentEffectivity)
{
    unsigned long ulRet;
    CComPtr<IEP_BaseDataObject> ptrRelation;
    while(ptrRelations->Next(1, &ptrRelation, &ulRet) == S_OK)
    {
        CComVariant varRelExtendedEffectivity;
        HRESULT hr = ptrRelation->GetAttribute(
            CComBSTR(L"extendedeffectivity"),
            &varRelExtendedEffectivity);
        if ( S_OK != hr )
            throw std::runtime_error("Internal runtime error");

        CComBSTR bstrEffectivity;
        hr = ptrParser->AndExtendedEffectivities(
            bstrCurrentEffectivity,
            varRelExtendedEffectivity.bstrVal,
            &bstrEffectivity);
        if ( S_OK != hr )
            throw std::runtime_error("Internal runtime error");

        CComVariant varProcess;
        hr = ptrRelation->GetAttribute(
            CComBSTR(L"relationobjectsource"),
            &varProcess);
        if ( S_OK != hr )
            throw std::runtime_error("Internal runtime error");

        ComputeEffectivityOverNodes(
            ptrParser,
            varProcess.punkVal,
            vectorPaths,
            bstrEffectivity);

        ptrRelation = 0;
    }
}

void XCMCCheckAcceptanceTest::GetProducts(
    const std::wstring& strExternalData,
    std::map<std::wstring, std::wstring>& mapProducts)
{

```

```

        mapProducts.clear();

        std::vector<std::wstring> vectorProducts;
        Split(strExternalData, vectorProducts);

        for(std::vector<std::wstring>::const_iterator iter = vectorPro-
ducts.begin();
            iter != vectorProducts.end();
            ++iter)
        {
            std::wstring::size_type pos;
            pos = (*iter).find('@');
            std::wstring strKey = Trim((*iter).substr(0, pos));
            std::wstring strEff = (pos != std::wstring::npos)?
                Trim((*iter).substr(pos + 1, (*iter).size()- pos)) :
L"";

            mapProducts.insert(std::make_pair(strKey, strEff));
        }
    }

void XCMCCheckAcceptanceTest::Split(
    const std::wstring& strExternalData,
    std::vector<std::wstring>& vectorProducts)
{
    vectorProducts.clear();

    for(std::wstring::const_iterator iter = strExternalData.begin(), pos;
        (pos = std::find( iter, strExternalData.end(), ',')) != strEx-
ternalData.end();
        iter = ++pos)

        vectorProducts.push_back(std::wstring(iter, pos));

    if (iter != strExternalData.end())
        vectorProducts.push_back(std::wstring(iter, strExternal-
Data.end()));
}

std::wstring XCMCCheckAcceptanceTest::Trim(std::wstring& strIn)
{
    while (iswspace(strIn[0]))
        strIn.erase(0, 1); // trim left

    while (iswspace (strIn[strIn.length() - 1]))
        strIn.erase(strIn.length() - 1, 1); // trim right

    return strIn;
}

```

List of Figures

Figure 1: Process and Product Structure 8

List of Tables

Table 1: Type: actionproxy	5
Table 2: Type: ergoproject	5

Index

N

Nonliability ii